

The charge and spin sectors of the t - t' Hubbard model

Adolfo Avella* Ferdinando Mancini

*Dipartimento di Fisica "E.R. Caianiello" - Unità INFN di Salerno
Università degli Studi di Salerno, I-84081 Baronissi (SA), Italy*

Abstract

The charge and spin sectors, which are intimately coupled to the fermionic one, of the t - t' Hubbard model have been computed self-consistently within the two-pole approximation. The relevant unknown correlators appearing in the causal bosonic propagators have been computed by enforcing the constraints dictated by the hydrodynamics and the algebra of the composite operators coming into play. The proposed scheme of approximation extends previous calculations made for the fermionic sector of the t - t' Hubbard model and the bosonic sector of the Hubbard model, which showed to be very effective to describe the overdoped region of cuprates (the former) and the magnetic response of their parent compounds (the latter).

Key words: t - t' -U Hubbard model, Composite Operator Method, bosonic sector
PACS:

Quite recently, we have shown how it is possible to capture, by means of the t - t' Hubbard model, the single-particle properties of cuprate materials in their overdoped region within an approximation scheme that has ingredients like: a two-pole reduction of the fermionic retarded propagator, the use of composite operators and the implementation of algebraic constraints [1,2]. On the other hand, we have also shown how it is possible to reproduce the magnetic response of the parent compounds of the cuprates within a similar approach applied to the relevant bosonic causal Green's functions [3,4]. Both of these schemes have proved to be very reliable through many positive comparisons to existing numerical data [1,3].

Along this line, we decided to study the possibility to extend the latter approach to the t - t' Hubbard model in order to provide further elements of analysis to our study of the cuprate properties and, in particular, of the magnetic properties in the underdoped region. The 2D t - t' Hubbard model is described by the Hamiltonian

$$H = \sum_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}} (t_{\mathbf{ij}} - \mu \delta_{\mathbf{ij}}) c^\dagger(\mathbf{i}, t) c(\mathbf{j}, t) + U \sum_{\mathbf{i}} n_\uparrow(\mathbf{i}) n_\downarrow(\mathbf{i}) \quad (1)$$

We use the standard notation: $c(\mathbf{i})$, $c^\dagger(\mathbf{i})$ are annihilation and creation operators of electrons in the spinorial notation; $\mathbf{i}$ stays for the lattice vector and $i = (\mathbf{i}, t)$; μ is the chemical potential; $t_{\mathbf{ij}}$ denotes the transfer integral; U is the screened Coulomb potential; $n_\sigma(\mathbf{i}) = c_\sigma^\dagger(\mathbf{i}) c_\sigma(\mathbf{i})$ is the charge density of electrons at the site $\mathbf{i}$ with spin σ . For a cubic lattice the hopping matrix has the form $t_{\mathbf{ij}} = -4t\alpha_{\mathbf{ij}} - 4t'\beta_{\mathbf{ij}}$, where α_{ij} and β_{ij} are the first and second neighbor projection operators, respectively,

* Dipartimento di Fisica "E.R. Caianiello"
Unità di Ricerca INFN di Salerno
Università degli Studi di Salerno
Via S. Allende, I-84081 Baronissi (SA), Italy
Tel. +39 089 965418
Fax: +39 089 965275

Email addresses: avella@sa.infn.it (Adolfo Avella),
mancini@sa.infn.it (Ferdinando Mancini).

URLs: <http://scs.sa.infn.it/avella> (Adolfo Avella),
<http://scs.sa.infn.it/mancini> (Ferdinando Mancini).

$$\alpha_{ij} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(R_i - R_j)} [\cos(k_x) + \cos(k_y)] \quad (2)$$

$$\beta_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{\mathbf{k}} e^{i\mathbf{k}(R_i - R_j)} \cos(k_x) \cos(k_y) \quad (3)$$

We choose as fermionic basis the following doublet

$$\psi(i) = \begin{pmatrix} \xi(i) \\ \eta(i) \end{pmatrix} \quad (4)$$

where $\xi(i) = [1 - n(i)]c(i)$ and $\eta(i) = n(i)c(i)$ are the Hubbard operators, and $n(i) = \sum_{\sigma} n_{\sigma}(i)$. In the two-pole approximation [1] the retarded GF $G(i, j) = \langle R[\psi(i)\psi^{\dagger}(j)] \rangle$ satisfies the equation

$$[\omega - \varepsilon(\mathbf{k})]G(k, \omega) = I(\mathbf{k}) \quad (5)$$

where $I(\mathbf{k}) = F.T. \langle \{\psi(\mathbf{i}, t), \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{j}, t)\} \rangle$ and $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k}) = F.T. \langle [i \frac{\partial \psi(\mathbf{i}, t)}{\partial t}, \psi^{\dagger}(\mathbf{j}, t)] \rangle I^{-1}(\mathbf{k})$; the symbol $F.T.$ denotes the Fourier transform. In the paramagnetic phase the energy matrix $\varepsilon(\mathbf{k})$ depends on the following set of internal parameters: μ , $\Delta = \langle \xi^{\alpha}(i)\xi^{\dagger}(i) \rangle - \langle \eta^{\alpha}(i)\eta^{\dagger}(i) \rangle$, $\Delta' = \langle \xi^{\beta}(i)\xi^{\dagger}(i) \rangle - \langle \eta^{\beta}(i)\eta^{\dagger}(i) \rangle$, $p = \langle n_{\mu}^{\alpha}(i)n_{\mu}(i) \rangle / 4 - \langle [c_{\uparrow}(i)c_{\downarrow}(i)]^{\alpha} c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(i)c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(i) \rangle$, $p' = \langle n_{\mu}^{\beta}(i)n_{\mu}(i) \rangle / 4 - \langle [c_{\uparrow}(i)c_{\downarrow}(i)]^{\beta} c_{\downarrow}^{\dagger}(i)c_{\uparrow}^{\dagger}(i) \rangle$, which must be self-consistently determined. Given an operator $\zeta(i)$, we are using the notation $\zeta^{\gamma}(i) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}} \gamma_{ij}\zeta(\mathbf{j}, t)$ and $\zeta^{\gamma\lambda}(i) = \sum_{\mathbf{j}\mathbf{l}} \gamma_{ij}\lambda_{jl}\zeta(\mathbf{l}, t)$ with $\gamma, \lambda = \alpha, \beta$. The operator $n_{\mu}(i) = c^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c(i)$ [$\sigma_{\mu} = (\mathbf{1}, \sigma)$, σ are the Pauli matrices] is the charge ($\mu = 0$) and spin ($\mu = 1, 2, 3$) density operator. The local algebra satisfied by the fermionic field (4) imposes the constraint $\langle \xi(i)\eta^{\dagger}(i) \rangle = 0$; this equation allows us to solve self-consistently the fermionic sector [1].

We consider then the composite bosonic field [3]

$$N^{(\mu)}(i) = \begin{pmatrix} n_{\mu}(i) \\ \rho_{\mu}(i) \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

where $\rho_{\mu}(i) = \rho'_{\mu}(i) + \tau\rho''_{\mu}(i)$, $\rho'_{\mu}(i) = c^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\alpha}(i) - c^{\alpha\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c(i)$ and $\rho''_{\mu}(i) = c^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\beta}(i) - c^{\beta\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c(i)$ with $\tau = t'/t$. The equation of motion of $n_{\mu}(i)$ reads as $i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}n_{\mu}(i) = -4t\rho_{\mu}(i)$, whereas that of $\rho_{\mu}(i)$ reads as $i\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\rho_{\mu}(i) = -4tl_{\mu}(i) + Uk_{\mu}(i)$. According to the fact that τ is usually chosen of the order 10^{-1} , we have decided to neglect terms of the order τ^2 and higher, as they will practically give no relevant contributions to the dynamics. In this case, we have $l_{\mu}(i) = l'_{\mu}(i) + 2\tau l''_{\mu}(i)$ and $\kappa_{\mu}(i) = \kappa'_{\mu}(i) + \tau\kappa''_{\mu}(i)$ with

$$l'_{\mu}(i) = c^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\alpha^2}(i) + c^{\dagger\alpha^2}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c(i) - 2c^{\dagger\alpha}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\alpha}(i) \quad (7)$$

$$l''_{\mu}(i) = c^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\alpha\beta}(i) + c^{\dagger\alpha\beta}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c(i) - c^{\dagger\alpha}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\beta}(i) - c^{\dagger\beta}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\alpha}(i) \quad (8)$$

$$\kappa'_{\mu}(i) = c^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}\eta^{\alpha}(i) - \eta^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\alpha}(i) + \eta^{\dagger\alpha}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c(i) - c^{\dagger\alpha}(i)\sigma_{\mu}\eta(i) \quad (9)$$

$$\kappa''_{\mu}(i) = c^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}\eta^{\beta}(i) - \eta^{\dagger}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c^{\beta}(i) + \eta^{\dagger\beta}(i)\sigma_{\mu}c(i) - c^{\dagger\beta}(i)\sigma_{\mu}\eta(i) \quad (10)$$

In the two-pole approximation the causal GF $G^{(\mu)}(i, j) = \langle T[N^{(\mu)}(i)N^{(\mu)\dagger}(j)] \rangle$ satisfies the equation

$$[\omega - \varepsilon^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k})]G^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}, \omega) = I^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) \quad (11)$$

where $I^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = F.T. \langle [N^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{i}, t), N^{(\mu)\dagger}(\mathbf{j}, t)] \rangle$, $m^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = F.T. \langle [i \frac{\partial N^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{i}, t)}{\partial t}, N^{(\mu)\dagger}(\mathbf{j}, t)] \rangle$ and $\varepsilon^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = m^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k})[I^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k})]^{-1}$. As it can be easily verified, in the paramagnetic phase the normalization matrix $I^{(\mu)}$ does not depend on the index μ ; charge and spin operators have the same weight. The two matrices $I^{(\mu)}$ and $m^{(\mu)}$ are off-diagonal and diagonal, respectively, and have the following entries:

$$I_{12}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = 4[1 - \alpha(\mathbf{k})]C_{cc}^{\alpha} + 4[1 - \beta(\mathbf{k})]\tau C_{cc}^{\beta} \quad (12)$$

$$m_{11}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = -4tI_{12b}(\mathbf{k}) \quad (13)$$

$$m_{22}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = -4tI_{\mu\rho\mu}(\mathbf{k}) + UI_{\kappa_{\mu}\rho_{\mu}}(\mathbf{k}) \quad (14)$$

$$C_{cc}^{\gamma} = \langle c^{\gamma}(\mathbf{i})c^{\dagger}(\mathbf{i}) \rangle \quad (15)$$

$$I_{\mu\rho\mu}(\mathbf{k}) = F.T. \langle [l_{\mu}(\mathbf{i}, t), \rho_{\mu}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{j}, t)] \rangle \quad (16)$$

$$I_{\kappa_{\mu}\rho_{\mu}}(\mathbf{k}) = F.T. \langle [\kappa_{\mu}(\mathbf{i}, t), \rho_{\mu}^{\dagger}(\mathbf{j}, t)] \rangle \quad (17)$$

The energy matrix $\varepsilon^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k})$ has off-diagonal form with entries: $\varepsilon_{12}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = -4t$ and $\varepsilon_{21}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = m_{22}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k})/I_{12}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k})$. Quite lengthy calculations shows that the energy spectra $[E_n^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k}) = (-)^n \sqrt{-4tm_{22}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k})/I_{12}^{(\mu)}(\mathbf{k})}]$ $n = 1, 2$ and the spectral weights (which can be expressed in terms of the eigenenergies and eigenvectors of the energy matrix) depend on the following parameters: fermionic correlators $C_{nm}(\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j}) = \langle \psi_n(\mathbf{i})\psi_m^{\dagger}(\mathbf{j}) \rangle$ with $|\mathbf{i} - \mathbf{j}|$ up to 4 lattice hops along the two main axis of the lattice and unknown bosonic correlators a_{μ} , b_{μ} , c_{μ} and d_{μ} (whose explicit expression can be found in Ref. [3]) and

$$a'_\mu = \langle c^{\dagger\beta}(i)c^\alpha(i)n(i) \rangle - \frac{1}{3}(4\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c^{\dagger\beta}(i)\sigma_q c^\alpha(i)n_q(i) \rangle + 4(2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c_\uparrow(i)c_\downarrow(i)c_\downarrow^\dagger(i)c_\uparrow^\dagger(i) \rangle \quad (18)$$

$$c'_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \langle c^\dagger(i)c(i_1)n(i_5) \rangle - \frac{1}{12}(4\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c^\dagger(i)\sigma_q c(i_1)n_q(i_5) \rangle + (2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c_\uparrow(i_5)c_\downarrow(i_5)c_\downarrow^\dagger(i_1)c_\uparrow^\dagger(i) \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle c^\dagger(i)c(i_5)n(i_1) \rangle - \frac{1}{12}(4\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c^\dagger(i)\sigma_q c(i_5)n_q(i_1) \rangle + (2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c_\uparrow(i_1)c_\downarrow(i_1)c_\downarrow^\dagger(i_5)c_\uparrow^\dagger(i) \rangle \quad (19)$$

$$d'_\mu = \frac{1}{4} \langle c^\dagger(i)c(i_1)n(i_{13}) \rangle - \frac{1}{12}(4\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c^\dagger(i)\sigma_q c(i_1)n_q(i_{13}) \rangle + (2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c_\uparrow(i_{13})c_\downarrow(i_{13})c_\downarrow^\dagger(i_1)c_\uparrow^\dagger(i) \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle c^\dagger(i)c(i_{13})n(i_1) \rangle - \frac{1}{12}(4\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c^\dagger(i)\sigma_q c(i_{13})n_q(i_1) \rangle + (2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) \langle c_\uparrow(i_1)c_\downarrow(i_1)c_\downarrow^\dagger(i_{13})c_\uparrow^\dagger(i) \rangle \quad (20)$$

In order to compute the unknown bosonic correlators we can resort to the hydrodynamic constraints that require that the bosonic spectra should be superlinear in momentum for long wavelength and that the susceptibility should be single valued at $\mathbf{k} = \mathbf{0}$. Moreover, we can impose the local algebra constraint $\langle n_\mu(i)n_\mu(i) \rangle = \langle n \rangle + 2(2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1)D$, where $D = \langle n \rangle/2 - C_{22}(\mathbf{0})$ is the double occupancy. According to this, we get four equations which allow to compute the parameters a_μ, b_μ, c_μ and d_μ in terms of a'_μ, c'_μ and d'_μ . These latter parameters, with respect to the *no-primed* ones, are made up of correlators containing operators centered at more distant sites. According to this, we expect that their values and relevance should be lower and in order to determine them we suggest the following decouplings

$$a'_\mu \approx 8(2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) C_{cc}^\alpha C_{cc}^\beta - n C_{cc}^\alpha - n C_{cc}^\kappa \quad (21)$$

$$c'_\mu \approx 2(2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) C_{cc}^\alpha \left(C_{cc}^\alpha + C_{cc}^\beta \right) - \frac{n}{2} C_{cc}^\alpha - \frac{n}{2} C_{cc}^\beta \quad (22)$$

$$d'_\mu \approx 2(2\delta_{\mu 0} - 1) C_{cc}^\beta (C_{cc}^\alpha + C_{cc}^\kappa) - \frac{n}{2} C_{cc}^\alpha - \frac{n}{2} C_{cc}^\kappa \quad (23)$$

where κ is the projector on the fourth nearest neighbors.

In conclusion, we have reported a self-consistent scheme of calculations for the (spin and charge) bosonic sector of the t - t' Hubbard model. It is worth noticing that, within this scheme, the hydrodynamic constrains and the local algebra is preserved assuring that the known limits are conserved. Results of the presented scheme, easily attainable by solving numerically the self-consistent equations, will be presented elsewhere.

References

- [1] A. Avella, F. Mancini, D. Villani, The overdoped regime in LSCO, Sol. Stat. Comm. 108 (1998) 723.

- [2] A. Avella, F. Mancini, D. Villani, H. Matsumoto, The two-dimensional t - t' - u model as a minimal model for cuprate materials, Eur. Phys. J. B 20 (2001) 303.

- [3] A. Avella, F. Mancini, V. Turkowski, Bosonic sector of the two-dimensional hubbard model studied within a two-pole approximation, Phys. Rev. B 67 (2003) 115123.

- [4] A. Avella, F. Mancini, A theoretical analysis of the magnetic properties of LaCuO, Eur. Phys. J. B 32 (2003) 27.